From selective inference to adaptive data analysis

Xiaoying Tian Harris

December 9, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Acknowledgement

My advisor:

Jonathan Taylor

Other coauthors:

Snigdha Panigrahi

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Jelena Markovic
- Nan Bi

• Observe data (y, X), $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Observe data (y, X), $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- model = $Im(y \sim X1 + X2 + X3 + X4)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Observe data (y, X), $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ▶ model = Im(y ~ X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) model = Im(y ~ X1 + X2 + X4)

• Observe data (y, X), $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{tabular}{ll} \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) \\ \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X2 + X4) \\ \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X3 + X4) \\ \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- ▶ Observe data (y, X), $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) \\ \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X2 + X4) \\ \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X3 + X4) \\ \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- Inference after model selection
 - 1. Use data to select a set of variables E

2. Normal z-test to get p-values

- ▶ Observe data (y, X), $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X2 + X3 + X4) \\ \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X2 + X4) \\ \mbox{model} &= \mbox{Im}(y \sim X1 + X3 + X4) \\ \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- Inference after model selection
 - 1. Use data to select a set of variables E
 - 2. Normal z-test to get p-values
- Problem: inflated significance
 - 1. Normal z-tests need adjustment
 - 2. Selection is biased towards "significance"

Inflated Significance

Setup:

• $X \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 200}$ has i.i.d normal entries

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon, \ \epsilon \sim N(0, I)$$

$$\beta = (\underbrace{5, \dots, 5}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

- LASSO, nonzero coefficient set E
- ▶ z-test, null pvalues for $i \in E$, $i \notin \{1, ..., 10\}$



Inflated Significance

Setup:

• $X \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 200}$ has i.i.d normal entries

►
$$y = X\beta + \epsilon, \ \epsilon \sim N(0, I)$$

► $\beta = (5, \dots, 5, 0, \dots, 0)$

- LASSO, nonzero coefficient set E
- ▶ z-test, null pvalues for $i \in E$, $i \notin \{1, ..., 10\}$



Selective inference: features and caveat

Specific to particular selection procedures

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Exact post-selection test
- More powerful test

Selective inference: popping the hood

Consider the selection for "big effects":

- $X_1,\ldots,X_n \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0,1), \ \overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$
- Select for "big effects", $\overline{X} > 1$
- Observation: $\overline{X}_{obs} = 1.1$, with n = 5
- ▶ Normal *z*-test v.s. selective test for H_0 : $\mu = 0$.



Selective inference: popping the hood

Consider the selection for "big effects":

- $X_1,\ldots,X_n \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0,1), \overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$
- Select for "big effects", $\overline{X} > 1$
- Observation: $\overline{X}_{obs} = 1.1$, with n = 5
- Normal *z*-test v.s. selective test for $H_0: \mu = 0$.



Selective inference: in a nutshell

- Selection, e.g. $\overline{X} > 1$.
- Change of the reference measure
 - the conditional distribution, e.g. $N(\mu, \frac{1}{n})$, truncated at 1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Target of inference may depend on the outcome of selection
 - Example: selection by LASSO

What is the "selected" model?

Suppose a set of variables E are suggested by the data for further investigation.

Selected model by Fithian et al. (2014):

$$\mathcal{M}_{E} = \{ N(X_{E}\beta_{E}, \sigma_{E}^{2}I), \beta_{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}, \sigma_{E}^{2} > 0 \}.$$

Target is β_E .

▶ Full model by Lee et al. (2016), Berk et al. (2013):

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ N(\mu, \sigma^2 I), \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$

Target is $\beta_E(\mu) = X_E^{\dagger}\mu$.

Nonparametric model:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \otimes^n F : (X, Y) \sim F \}.$$

Target is $\beta_E(F) = \mathbb{E}_F[X_E^T X_E]^{-1} \mathbb{E}_F[X_E \cdot Y].$

What is the "selected" model?

Suppose a set of variables E are suggested by the data for further investigation.

Selected model by Fithian et al. (2014):

$$\mathcal{M}_{E} = \{ N(X_{E}\beta_{E}, \sigma_{E}^{2}I), \beta_{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}, \sigma_{E}^{2} > 0 \}.$$

Target is β_E .

▶ Full model by Lee et al. (2016), Berk et al. (2013):

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2 I), \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n \}.$$

Target is $\beta_E(\mu) = X_E^{\dagger}\mu$.

Nonparametric model:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \otimes^n F : (X, Y) \sim F \}.$$

Target is $\beta_E(F) = \mathbb{E}_F[X_E^T X_E]^{-1} \mathbb{E}_F[X_E \cdot Y].$

A tool for valid inference after exploratory data analysis.

Selective inference on a DAG



 \blacktriangleright Incoporate randomness through ω

1.
$$(X^*, y^*) = (X, y)$$

2. $(X^*, y^*) = (X_1, y_1)$
3. $(X^*, y^*) = (X, y + \omega)$

- Reference measure conditioning on *E*, the yellow node.
- Target of inference can be \overline{E}
 - 1. Not E, but depends on the data through E
 - 2. "Liberating" target of inference from selection
 - 3. *E* incorporate knowledge from previous literature.

From selective inference to adaptive data analysis Denote the data by S



◎ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ● ● ● ●

From selective inference to adaptive data analysis Denote the data by S



Reference measure after selection

▶ Given any point null F₀, use the conditional distribution F^{*}₀ as reference measure,

$$\frac{dF_0^*}{dF_0}(S) = \ell_F(S).$$

ℓ_F is called the selective likelihood ratio. Depends on the selection algorithm and the randomization distribution ω ~ G.

- Tests of the form H₀: θ(F) = θ₀ can be reduced to testing point nulls, e.g.
 - Score test
 - Conditioning in exponential families

Computing the reference measure after selection

• Selection map \hat{Q} results from an optimization problem,

$$\hat{\beta}(S,\omega) = \arg\min_{\beta} \ell(S;\beta) + \mathcal{P}(\beta) + \omega^{T}\beta$$

E is the active set of $\hat{\beta}$.

• Selection region $A(S) = \{\omega : \hat{Q}(S, \omega) = E\}, \omega \sim G$

$$\frac{dF_0^*}{dF_0}(S) = \int_{A(S)} dG(\omega).$$



 $\{\hat{\mathcal{Q}}(S,\omega) = E\}$ is difficult to describe.

Computing the reference measure after selection

• Selection map \hat{Q} results from an optimization problem,

$$\hat{\beta}(S,\omega) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\beta} \ell(S;\beta) + \mathcal{P}(\beta) + \omega^{T} \beta.$$

E is the active set of $\hat{\beta}$.

▶ Selection region $A(S) = \{\omega : \hat{Q}(S, \omega) = E\}, \omega \sim G$

$$\frac{dF_0^*}{dF_0}(S) = \int_{A(S)} dG(\omega).$$

Let $\hat{z}(S, \omega)$ be the subgradient of the optimization problem.



 $\{(\hat{\beta}_E, \hat{z}_{-E}) \in \mathcal{B}\}, \mathcal{B}$ depends only on the penalty \mathcal{P} .

Monte-Carlo sampler for the conditional distribution

Suppose F_0 has density f_0 and G has density g,



$$\frac{dF_0^*}{dF_0}(S) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} g(\psi(S, \hat{\beta}_E, \hat{z}_{-E})) d\hat{\beta}_E d\hat{z}_{-E},$$

where
$$\omega = \psi(S, \beta_E, \hat{z}_{-E}).$$

- The reparametrization map ψ is easy to compute, Harris et al. (2016)
- In simulation, we jointly sample (S, β̂_E, 2̂_{-E}) from the density below,

$$f_0(S)g(\psi(S,\hat{\beta}_E,\hat{z}_{-E}))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Samples of S can be used as reference measure for selective inference.

Interactive Data Analysis

Easily generalizable in a sequential/interactive fashion.



$f_0(S)g(\psi(S,\hat{\beta}_E,\hat{z}_{-E}))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Interactive Data Analysis

Easily generalizable in a sequential/interactive fashion.



$$f_0(S)g(\psi_1(S,\hat{\beta}_{E_1},\hat{z}_{-E_1}))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}_1}\cdot g(\psi_2(S,\hat{\beta}_{E_2},\hat{z}_{-E_2}))\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}_2}.$$

- Flexible framework. Any selection procedure resulting from a "Loss + Penalty" convex problem.
- Examples such as Lasso, logistic Lasso, marginal screening, forward stepwise, graphical Lasso, group Lasso, are considered in Harris et al. (2016).

Many more is possible.

Summary

- Selective inference on a DAG
- Selection: more than one shot
- Feasible implementation of the selective tests https://github.com/selective-inference/Python-software

Thank you!

- Berk, R., Brown, L., Buja, A., Zhang, K. & Zhao, L. (2013), 'Valid post-selection inference', *The Annals of Statistics* 41(2), 802–837.
 - URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aos/1369836961
- Fithian, W., Sun, D. & Taylor, J. (2014), 'Optimal Inference After Model Selection', arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.2597 . arXiv: 1410.2597.

URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.2597

- Harris, X. T., Panigrahi, S., Markovic, J., Bi, N. & Taylor, J. (2016), 'Selective sampling after solving a convex problem', arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.05609.
- Lee, J. D., Sun, D. L., Sun, Y. & Taylor, J. E. (2016), 'Exact post-selection inference with the lasso', *The Annals of Statistics* 44(3), 907–927.

URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aos/1460381681